# SOIL SAMPLING

#### **Certification Requirements**



By Nicholaus Madden, PhD, CCA

Soil Scientist

Vineyard Soil Technologies

Napa, California





We specialize in land evaluations for winegrape production, soil analysis, and vineyard design and health.





#### SOIL SAMPLING: GUIDELINES FOR LAB ANALYSIS

#### MATERIALS

- Spade, soil auger, or hand trowel (stainless or chrome finish, marked clearly @ 6")
- 2 (+) Plastic Buckets- One labeled vinerow and one labeled alley
- Plastic Bags
- Sharpie
- Map

#### DETERMINING SAMPLING LOCATIONS:

- For soil samples to provide the clearest comparison over time, each years' samples should be collected from the same locations, at a similar time of year and soil condition
- All sub-sample locations should be within homogenous soil type and management history
- It is recommended to select a sub-sampling layout procedure that can be easily documented and replicated in the future- such as a grid layout, using the vineyard spacing as the guide.
- Sub-sample locations should be recorded in a replicable format- row/ vine locations noted, points on a map, flags on vines or electronically recorded with GPS or GoogleMaps
- For guidance on soil sampling locations, contact Ben@napagreen.org

#### SAMPLING PROCESS:

- 1. Establish the blocks and sublocations to be sampled beforehand
- 2. Collect 10-20 samples each from the vinerow and the alley
  - Undervine: avoid within 1' of drip emitters
  - Alley: avoid the wheel track in the alley
- Gather sample either by digging a shallow hole and collecting a ½" x 2" x 6" ribbon of soil, or using a soil corer
- 4. Collect top 0-6 inches, removing the top debris (rocks, sod, etc)
- Mix all 10-20 subsamples from the vinerow together and put about a pint (2 cups) in a plastic bag, clearly labeled; repeat process for alleys
- 6. Upon returning from the field, store samples in the refrigerator or cold room and ship within 24 hours (*Following requirements for specific lab*)





#### SOIL SAMPLING: CERTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS

Soil sampling is essential to effectively and efficiently manage soil health and vine nutrition. Soil testing also establishes a baseline of Soil Organic Matter (SOM, including carbon) and other important soil health indicators, and tracks changes over time. Note that soil sampling is most effective when the soil is moist (November-April) so we recommend soil sampling in the winter/spring and sample at the same time of year each time- most growers sample in late winter, around bud-break. If it is not currently soil sampling' season', this item can be added to your Action Plan.

**Integrated Nutrient Management**: Soil samples should also be used to identify when and if the vines need nutrients. Growers should use both soil tests and petiole testing to help target fertilizer applications, recognizing that there can be discrepancies between soil and plant indicators. While petiole samples can provide a snapshot of vine nutrient content, soil samples can help identify systemic nutrient and pH imbalances. This larger context can help target fertilizer application, reducing material use and cost.

- When you receive your Carbon Farm Plan onsite assessment the Napa Green/RCD staff can assist with soil sampling and testing of physical parameters.
- If you are in queue for a CFP onsite assessment when you need to do soil sampling
  please reach out to Ben Mackie (<u>ben@napagreen.org</u>), Napa Green's Vineyard Program
  Manager, to schedule a brief call to review your block map(s) and determine the best
  locations for soil sampling. Once you have identified where best to sample you will need
  to conduct your own soil sampling for lab tests. <u>RCD Soil Sampling Guidance</u>, <u>Cornell
  Cooperative Extension: Soil Sampling in the Vineyard</u>.

**Soil Sample Requirements**: Napa Green requires each vineyard to sample three blocks (6 soil samples) within their first year in the program and every following certification period (3 years). These samples will be 3 undervine and 3 in the alleys, with each sample to be tested made up of at least 10 subsamples from a given block and soil type.

For vineyards under 10 acres or several vineyard locations of similar soil types and management, contact Ben Mackie.

	Required	Recommended
Lab Test	Organic Matter, Macronutrients, CEC, pH (Most standard tests)	Micronutrients, texture, bulk density, respiration
On-site/ Physical test		Bulk density, aggregate stability, infiltration, compaction



### Overview

- Soil Sampling for Certification
  - Lab tests and On-Site/Physical tests
- Soil Sampling Protocols
  - Materials
  - Field Sampling Design
  - Sampling, storage, and shipping





### Soil Lab and Field Tests

#### • Required:



- Soil Organic Matter
- Macronutrients (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S)
- Cation Exchange Capacity
- pH
- Recommended:
  - Micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu)
    - Mo, B, Ni, Cl
  - Texture
  - Bulk Density
  - Respiration

### • Required:

• None



- Recommended:
  - Bulk Density
  - Aggregate Stability
  - Infiltration
  - Compaction





- pH and Nutrients- \$30
  - pH
  - P, K, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn (Mod. Mehlich or Morgan Ex)
  - Organic Matter (LOI, 500°C)
- Total Org. Carbon and Nitrogen \$30
  - Combustion,1100°C
- CEC \$25
- Texture \$25
  - Wet sieving (sand/silt)
- Soil Respiration \$25
  - 4-day incubation
- Bulk Density/Stone Content \$25

#### Total per Sample: \$160



Kearney, NE

#### • Routine - \$21.50

- pH (1:1)
- Soluble Salts (EC; 1:1)
- K, Ca, Mg, Na (Ammonium Acetate Ex)
- S (Mehlich 3 Ex)
- Zn, Fe, Mn Cu (DTPA Ex)
- P (multiple Ex, available)
- Sum of Cations (SEC)
- Organic Matter (LOI, 500°C)
- Total Org. Carbon \$15
  - Combustion, 1100°C
- CEC \$6.25 (?)
- Texture \$15
  - Hydrometer
- Soil Respiration \$27
  - 24-hour CO<sub>2</sub> Burst
- Bulk Density \$50

#### Total per Sample: ≈ \$135



Pleasanton, NE

#### • Basic - \$18

- pH (1:1)
- Soluble Salts (EC; 1:1)
- K, Ca, Mg, Na (Ammonium Acetate Ex)
- S (Mehlich 3 Ex)
- Zn, Fe, Mn Cu (DTPA Ex)
- P (multiple Ex, available)
- Sum of Cations (SEC)
- Organic Matter (LOI, 500°C)
- Total Org. Carbon \$13.50
  - Combustion, 1100°C
- CEC NA
- Texture \$17.50
  - Hydrometer
- Soil Respiration \$25
  - 24-hour CO<sub>2</sub> Burst
- Bulk Density \$10

#### Total per Sample: ≈ \$90



### Lab Methods to Measure SOC

#### Using Low Organic Matter Soils to Compare **Conventional Soil Organic Carbon Measurements**

Presenter: Wayne Roper NC STATE Co-authors: Wayne Robarge, Deanna Osmond, Joshua Heitman UNIVERSITY Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, NC State University

### Loss on Ignition (LOI)

**Dry Combustion (DC)** 



~ 10 g soil

~ 0.03 g

soil



crucible

1100°C



Thermal digestion

SOC oxidation



Muffle furnace



oxidation

Mass soil without SOM



Gas

chromatography

Thermal detection







### Organic and Inorganic Carbon

**Organic C** = Total Soil C – Inorganic C

Inorganic C = CaCO<sub>3</sub> (calcite) + MgCO<sub>3</sub> (dolomite) Pressure calcimeter methodology on samples with a pH > 6.5







#### PHYSICAL:

• Water Stable Aggregates (modified)

#### **BIOLOGICAL:**

- Soil Respiration 24-hour CO<sub>2</sub>
- H<sub>2</sub>O Extract:
  - NH<sub>4</sub>-N, NO<sub>3</sub>-N, Total N, Total Organic C, Total Organic N

#### **CHEMICAL:**

- Ammonium acetate extract: K, Ca, Mg, Na
- DTPA extract: Zn, Fe, Mn Cu
- Mehlich 3 extract: S
- Olsen extract: P
- Soil pH (1:1)
- EC (1:1)
- SOM (LOI)
- Sum of Cations (SEC)







Overall Chemical

Location 🖵	рН	EC (dS/m)	Na (ppm)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	S (ppm)
Under Vines	6.7	0.3	19	45	365	261
Mid-Row	6.6	0.3	12	29	310	258
Uncultivated	6.8	0.2	13	19	512	57

Location 📮	Zn (ppm)	Fe (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	SEC	%Ca of SEC	%Mg of SEC
Under Vines	5	28	12	2	13	66	21
Mid-Row	3	31	13	2	13	65	22
Uncultivated	7	40	14	3	15	52	28

Vineyard Soil Technologies



Overall Biological

Location 🖵	SOM%	Co (ppm)	POX-C (ppm)	CO2 Respir (ppm)	SHS
Under Vines	3.0	116	715	58	9
Mid-Row	3.2	124	680	105	12
Uncultivated	5.9	290	1001	313	26

Location 🖵	NO3 (ppm)	NH4 (ppm)	No (ppm)	Total N (ppm)	No:Ni
Under Vines	22	5	6	32	0.7
Mid-Row	11	2	9	22	0.8
Uncultivated	5	3	19	27	3.2

**Soil Health Score** =  $(CO_2/10) + (C_0/50) + (N_0/10)$ 





- CO<sub>2</sub>: Most ag soils normally below 200 ppm
- C<sub>o</sub>: Most ag soils are 40 300 ppm; < 120 is low
- POX-C: medium is 500 ppm (+/- 185)





Overall Physical

Location	WSA (Mod)
Under Vines	60
Mid-Row	59
Uncultivatec	86

- Stable aggregates are built by biological activity, stuck together by fungal hyphae/roots and plant/microbial exudates
- Soils higher in clay and silt will form more stable aggregates
  - Clay type also influences aggregate stability (2:1 > 1:1)
  - Iron oxides/calcium carbonate also act as binding agents







0.25-2 mm diameter

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RKT1r1mUinU&t=5s

#### 1) Oscillation in water





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RKT1r1mUinU&t=5s

Kemper and Rosenau, 1986

#### 1) Oscillation in water





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RKT1r1mUinU&t=5s

1) Oscillation in water 2) Oscillation in dispersing solution





https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RKT1r1mUinU&t=5s

Kemper and Rosenau, 1986





STAGS LEAP DISTRICT NAPA VALLEY

Overall Chemical

рН	EC (dS/m)	Na (ppm)	P (ppm)	K (ppm)	S (ppm)
7.0	0.1	87	42	442	6
7.0	0.1	44	22	431	6
6.9	0.2	17	23	612	7
	<b>pH</b> 7.0 7.0 6.9	pHEC (dS/m)7.00.17.00.16.90.2	pHEC (dS/m) Na (ppm)7.00.1877.00.1446.90.217	pHEC (dS/m) Na (ppm)P (ppm)7.00.187427.00.144226.90.21723	pHEC (dS/m)Na (ppm)P (ppm)K (ppm)7.00.187424427.00.1444224316.90.21723612

Location 📮	Zn (ppm)	Fe (ppm)	Mn (ppm)	Cu (ppm)	SEC	%Ca of SEC	%Mg of SEC
Under Vines	12	46	7	1	16	60	31
Mid-Row	7	43	9	1	16	74	17
Uncultivated	20	62	10	1	19	66	25

Vineyard Soil Technologies



STAGS LEAP DISTRICT NAPA VALLEY

Overall Biological

Location	SOM%	Co (ppm)	CO2 Respir (ppm)	SHS
Under Vines	3.4	192	143	19
Mid-Row	4.1	197	231	24
Uncultivated	6.7	400	462	38

- CO<sub>2</sub>: Most ag soils normally below 200 ppm
- C<sub>o</sub>: Most ag soils are 40 300 ppm; < 120 is low

Location	NO3 (ppm)	NH4 (ppm)	No (ppm)	Total N (ppm)	No:Ni
Under Vines	2	2	16	19	4.5
Mid-Row	3	3	17	23	3.1
Uncultivated	4	4	32	40	4.0

**Soil Health Score** =  $(CO_2/10) + (C_0/50) + (N_0/10)$ 





STAGS LEAP DISTRICT NAPA VALLEY

Overall Physical

Location	WSA (Mod)
Under Vines	75
Mid-Row	68
Uncultivated	75





### **Field Tests**

• Bulk Density =

#### weight of oven dried soil(g)

Bulk volume of the soil at a field moisture( $cm^3$ )







**I**NRCS







Vineyard Soil Technologies 22

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E7BSZrJ-TDw&t=2s

		B
SOM =	2.6%	3.4%
SOC =	1.3%	1.7%
Bulk Density =	1.4 g/cm <sup>3</sup>	1.2 g/cm <sup>3</sup>
% Rock =	10%	20%
Carbon Stock =	45,708 lb C/acre-ft	45,424 Ib C/acre-ft Vineyard Soil Z3



### **Field Tests**

- Aggregate Stability
  - Slake Test
    - Measures soil stability to rapid wetting
    - Air-dried soil
    - Qualitative test











#### **Liquid Carbon Pathway:** The most powerful pathway that feeds the soil.

VineyardSoil Technologics

#### After 5 min

### Field Tests

- Slake Test
  - Make observations after 5 minute
  - Then raise and lower basket 5 times
  - Make observations again

**O**NRCS



Stability class	ss Criteria for assignment to stability class (for "Standard Characterization")								
0	Soil too unstable to sample (falls through sieve).								
1	50 % of structural integrity lost within 5 seconds of insertion in water.								
2 50 % of structural integrity lost 5 - 30 seconds after insertion.									
3	50 % of structural integrity lost 30 - 300 seconds after insertion or < 10 % of								
	soil remains on the sieve after 5 dipping cycles.								
4	<b>10 - 25%</b> of soil remaining on sieve after 5 dipping cycles.								
5	<b>25 - 75%</b> of soil remaining on sieve after 5 dipping cycles.								
6	75 - 100% of soil remaining on sieve after 5 dipping cycles.								
		28							

Soil





#### Soil aggregate stability Mario Fajardo Designed for iPhone

★★★★★ 3.3 • 4 Ratings

Free









Vineyard Soil Technologies 30

### **Field Tests**

#### • Infiltration

- Dependent on
  - Soil type
  - Pore size, amount, continuity
  - Water content
- Best determined when the soil is near field capacity



Table 3. Infiltration rates and classes.									
Infiltration rate (minutes per inch)	Infiltration class								
< 3	Very rapid								
3 to 10	Rapid								
10 to 30	Moderately rapid								
30 to 100	Moderate								
100 to 300	Moderately slow								
300 to 1,000	Slow								
1,000 to 40,000	Very slow								
> 40,000	Impermeable								





**A FIELD VISIT WITH NRCS SOIL HEALTH SPECIALIST JAY FUHRER** Cronin Farms, Potter County, SD

### **Field Tests**

- Compaction
  - Surface (0 6")
  - Subsurface (6 18")
- Taken near field capacity
  - 2 to 3 days after free drainage
- Penetrometer
  - Cone-tip (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" tip for most soil;
     <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub>" tip for very soft soil)
  - Metal shaft
  - Pressure gauge
- Root growth ceases for most crops: 300 psi



> Predicted Available Water Cap STANDARD PLUS Soil > STANDARD Package (abo	Health Package \$150/sample (sample size 5 cups)	* Bulk Dens Recommended
> Surface & subsurface hard > Predicted ACE Protein (Lab	ness (optional-you provide the penetrometer readings test available as Add-On) info here: <u>https://bit.ly/2KDTLQe</u>	*Hot Wate
> Active Carbon > Total Carbon & Total Nitro	gen & Soil Organic Carbon *replaced ACE Protein 2020	composted are
> Wet Aggregate Stability	> Soil Texture	Recommended

NRCS 216 Soil Health Package \$165/sample (sample size 5 cups) <u>Recommended for</u>: USDA-NRCS Projects, CIG Soil Health Demo Trials > STANDARD PLUS Package (above) plus EC electrical conductivity (soluble salts) **Recommended applications:** high tunnels, lawns, urban areas, composted areas, home gardens, landscaped areas

\*Hot Water-soluble Boron \$25/sample Recommended applications: small fruits, vegetables, gardens

#### \* Bulk Density \$25/sample

**<u>Recommended applications</u>:** A fixed-volume cylinder is repeatedly used to collect soil volumes into a separate BD sample bag. Data used to convert % carbon to tons carbon per acre

\*Many of the soil analyses in the CASH packages are available as individual tests. Submission form for individual tests at:

https://soilhealthlab.cals.cornell.edu/testing-services/individual-soil-analyses/

15 Soil penetrometer data- record the highest number (PSI) encountered in the 0-6" and the 6-18" depth for each subsample location

	locat	ion 1	locat	ion 2	locat	ion 3	locat	ion 4	locat	ion 5	locat	ion 6	locat	ion 7	locat	ion 8	locat	tion 9	locati	on 10
Sample #	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	<mark>6-18</mark> "	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"	0-6"	6-18"
1																				
2																				
3																				
4																				
5																				
6																				
7																				
8																				
9																				
10																				



# Using a penetrometer to detect soil compaction

SOIL COMPACTION





**University of Wisconsin-Extension** 

Vineyard Soil Technologics

### **Compaction and Available Water**

Plants in compacted soils experience water stress in both wet and dry periods



Compacted soils harden more quickly upon drying than well-aggregated soils.



The optimum water range for crop growth for two different soils.







( Submit manuscript

HOME > SCIENCE > VOL. 371, NO. 6526 > PLANT ROOTS SENSE SOIL COMPACTION THROUGH RESTRICTED ETHYLENE DIFFUSION

#### REPORT

f Y in 🤡 🖗 🖾

# Plant roots sense soil compaction through restricted ethylene diffusion

BIPIN K. PANDEY       (b), GUOQIANG HUANG       (b), RAHUL BHOSALE       (b), SJON HARTMAN       (c), CRAIG J. STURROCK         (b), LAURENTIUS A. C. J. VOESENEK, [], AND MALCOLM J. BENNETT       (c)       +6 authors       Authors Info & Authors	D, <u>LOTTI</u>	<u>E JOSE, O</u> IS	LIVIER C	<u>. Martin</u> id	, <u>MICHAL KARADY</u>
SCIENCE • 15 Jan 2021 • Vol 371, Issue 6526 • pp. 276-280 • DOI: 10.1126/science.abf3013					
	Ļ		77	🔒 сн	ECK ACCESS

#### Ethylene aplenty signals soil compaction

It's tough to drive a spade through compacted soil, and plant roots seem to have the same problem when growing in compacted ground. Pandey *et al.* found that the problem is not, however, one of physical resistance but rather inhibition of growth through a signaling pathway. The volatile plant hormone ethylene will diffuse through aerated soil, but compacted soil reduces such diffusion, increasing the concentration of ethylene near root tissues. The cellular signaling cascades triggered by too much ethylene stop root growth. Therefore, gaseous diffusion serves as a readout of soil compaction for plant roots growing in search of productive nutrition.



#### **CURRENT ISSUE**



CD5 expression by dendritic cells directs T cell immunity and sustains immunotherapy responses

BY MINGYU HE, KATE ROUSSAK, ET AL.

VineyardSoil

The extracellular matrix and the immune system: A mutually dependent relationship 38

BY TARA E. SUTHERLAND, DOUGLAS P. DYER, ET AL.





- Field Sampling Design
  - Sampling goals
  - # of Samples
    - Three blocks
    - Samples per block
      - 1 Vinerow
      - 1 Ally
    - Each sample is made up of 10 subsamples
    - Penetrometer (Compaction)



### Considerations

- Collect samples from same locations, same time of year and soil condition
- Take subsample locations within homogenous soil type/management
- GPS locations





43

# Thank You!

Nicholaus Madden, PhD, CCA Soil Scientist Vineyard Soil Technologies Napa, California



#### Effect of Long-Term Soil Management on the Mutual Interaction Among Soil Organic Matter, Microbial Activity and Aggregate Stability in a Vineyard Belmont et. al., 2018



- 22-year experiment
- Haire loam; clay = 22%
- Carneros, CA (off Duhig Rd)
- Pinot Noir 1103P
- 8 X 5 (≈ 1100 vines/acre)
- pH = 6
- Top 2 in (5 cm) sampled
- **CC** + **NT**: mid-row cover crop and no-tillage
- **CC** + **T**: mid-row cover crop and tillage
- **UV:** under-vine with no vegetation or tillage



Effect of Long-Term Soil Management on the Mutual Interaction Among Soil Organic Matter, Microbial Activity and Aggregate Stability in a Vineyard Belmont et. al., 2018

Item	CC + NT	CC + T	UV
Biomass (g dry weight $m^{-2}$ )			
Shoot	195.6	147.4	4.7
Root	208.9	64.1	5.9

- Root biomass highest in S + NT; 3- and 30-fold
- Absence of tillage = supports long-term root establishment
- 404.5 g/m<sup>2</sup> ≈ 3600 lb/acre



### **Root Exudates**

- Rhizosheath
  - Layer of soil particles that adheres firmly to the root surface
  - Roots exude 11 40% of photosynthetically fixed carbon



#### Effect of Long-Term Soil Management on the Mutual Interaction Among Soil Organic Matter, Microbial Activity and Aggregate Stability in a Vineyard Belmont et. al., 2018

Item <sup>b)</sup>	CC + NT	CC + T	UV
TOC (g kg <sup>-1</sup> ) TN (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$26.64 \\ 2.45$	$17.75 \\ 1.70$	$19.28 \\ 1.86$
Olsen-P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> ) NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	$16.38 \\ 2.75 \\ 1.18$	$16.30 \\ 2.53 \\ 1.56$	36.68 1.22 9.69

- In 22 years, TOC increased from ≈1.9% to 2.7%
  - 0.04% per year (?)
  - Every 1% increase = 25,000 gal  $H_2O/acre$
- Greater importance of intact root biomass to C pools than incorporation of shoot biomass



Effect of Long-Term Soil Management on the Mutual Interaction Among Soil Organic Matter, Microbial Activity and Aggregate Stability in a Vineyard Belmont et. al., 2018







- Small changes in <u>Active</u> <u>Carbon</u> produces big changes:
  - Aggregate stability
  - N mineralization



Effect of Long-Term Soil Management on the Mutual Interaction Among Soil Organic Matter, Microbial Activity and Aggregate Stability in a Vineyard Belmont et. al., 2018



# Tips for No-Till Transition

- Leave enough residue
- To maintain 2.5% SOM ≈ 4 tons ac<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>
- To maintain 3.3% SOM ≈ 5 tons ac<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>
  - Depending on texture and environment
- In CA, 5.5 tons ac<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> is possible w/o irrigation













Soil Organic Carbon Content (Mg/ha)

Year



TEL: 831-724-5422 FAX: 831-724-3188 www.controllabs.com

Account #: 2030382-1/2-7235 Group: Mar22C #19 Reporting Date: March 30, 2022

 For 5 tons/acre of compost

• 270 lb K/acre

Nutrients	Dry wt.	As Rcvd.	units	Stability Indicate	or:						
Total Nitrogen:	2.1	1.2	%	CO2 Evolution		Respirometery					
Ammonia (NH <sub>4</sub> -N):	520	290	mg/kg	mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C/g OM/day		2.6					
Nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> -N):	3.6	2.0	mg/kg	mg CO <sub>2</sub> -C/g TS/d	g CO <sub>2</sub> -C/g TS/day						
Org. Nitrogen (OrgN):	2.0	1.1	%	Stability Rating		Stability Rating		Stability Rating		stable	
Phosphorus (as $P_2O_5$ ):	0.83	0.46	%								
Phosphorus (P):	3600	2000	mg/kg	Maturity Indicate	or: Cucun	nber Bioassay					
Potassium (as K <sub>2</sub> O):	3.3	1.8	%	Compost:Vermicu	ılite (v:v)	1:2					
Potassium (K):	27000	15000	mg/kg	Emergence (%)		100					
Calcium (Ca):	1.2	0.66	%	Seedling Vigor (%	o)	69					
Magnesium (Mg):	0.31	0.17	%	Description of	Plants	fungus					
Sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> -S):	280	150	mg/kg								
Boron (Total B):	54	30	mg/kg	Pathogens	Results	Units	Rating				
Moisture:	0	44.0	%	Fecal Coliform	< 7.5	MPN/g	pass				
Sodium (Na):	0.041	0.023	%	Salmonella	< 3	MPN/4g	pass				
Chloride (Cl):	0.028	0.016	%	Date Tested: 16 Mar	. 22						
pH Value:	NA	4.85	unit								
Bulk Density :	22	39	lb/cu ft	Physical Contar	ninants**	% by dry wt					
Carbonates (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ):	< 0.1	< 0.1	lb/ton	Total Plastic		< 0.1					
Conductivity (EC5):	6.6	NA	mmhos/cm	Film Plastic		< 0.1					
Organic Matter:	82.1	46.0	%	Glass		< 0.1					
Organic Carbon:	44.0	25.0	%	Metal		< 0.1					
Ash:	17.9	10.0	%	Sharps		ND					
C/N Ratio	21	21	ratio	Total		< 0.5					
AgIndex	> 10	> 10	ratio			× 0.0					